

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		Ĭ			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/056,063	01/28/2002	M. Clark Dale	P66143US1	3968	
7:	590 07/17/2002				
JACOBSON HOLMAN			EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004		ورامه حج	LILLING, HERBERT J		
		**	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		()	1651		
		4	DATE MAILED: 07/17/2002	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlineti	- N	Annlinant(a)				
Office Action Summary		Applicati	nn.	Applicant(s)				
		10/056,06	3	DALE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			J LILLING	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failurian - Any II	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the provi	N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the statuod will apply and will tute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be tir story minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	<u>8 July 2002</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	ion of Claims	ion						
•	 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	8) Claim(s) 4-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)				oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ian priority up	dor 25 11 5 C & 110/c	n) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15				

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the election and amendment filed July 8,

Page 2

2002.

2. Claims 1-17 are now pending in this application.

Applicant has elected with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-3.

Claims 4-17 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.

The restriction is proper according to the M.P.E.P. The arguments have been deemed not to be persuasive.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product e.g. preparing ethanolic products other than "beer" ethanolic products.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 1651

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

And

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

A. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, page 3, line 13 lacks any designation of the NRRL number, and

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

See the following paragraphs:

B. It is apparent that the strain(s) is/(are) required to practice the claimed invention(s) as recited in the claims. As a required element it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of all strains. See 37 C. F. R. 1.802.

The specification does not provide a repeatable method for obtaining the strain(s) and it does not appear to be a readily available material. Deposit of the strain(s) would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. If a deposit has been made, Applicant is required to meet the necessary criteria of the deposit rules in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-37 CFR 1.809.

If a deposit has not been supplied or made under the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his

Art Unit: 1651

or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty <u>and that all restrictions</u> imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be <u>irrevocably removed</u> upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements, See 37 CFR 1.808.

If a deposit is not made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

- a) during the pendency of the application, access to the deposit will be afforded to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto;
- b) all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material <u>will be irrevocably</u> removed upon the granting of a patent;
- c) the deposit will be maintained for a term of at least thirty (30) years and at least five (5) years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited material;
- d) a viability statement in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.807;

and

e) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination or loss of capability to function n the manner described in the specification.

In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d) should be added to the specification, See 37 CFR 1.803-37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanations of these requirements.

The specification does not indicate any deposit in accordance with U.S.

Rules of Deposits or any identifying information in the specification for the properties of the culture per se.

C. The language of the claims must make it clear what subject matter the claims encompass to adequately delineate their "metes and bounds". See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA 1970); In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA 1970); In re Venezia 530 F 2d. 956, 958, 189 USPQ 149, 151 (CCPA 1976); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Knowlton 481 F 2d. 1357, 1366, 178 USPQ 486, 492 (CCPA 1973). In re Courts have also indicated that before Steele, 305 F 2d. 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962); In re Moore 439 F 2d. 1232, 169 USPQ 236 (CCPA 1969); In re Merat, 519 F 2d. 1390, 186 USPQ 471 (CCPA 1975). Claims 1-3 fail to comply with the above decisions.

Absent any identifying information in the specification for the claimed species, the claimed subject matter cannot be properly be compared.

Applicant is entitled to go to the Board of Appeals if Applicant relies on the intended use of the culture medium for the claimed properties of the strains. The claimed species are not "product by processes" but defined by properties other than the culture per se.

D. The enablement as well as the written description of the instant specification lack support for the claimed strain(s) since the specification lacks

Art Unit: 1651

adequate written description for the claimed inventions in view of the following points in accordance with the written description requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The description must clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize what is claimed. Thus, an applicant must comply with the written description requirement "by describing the invention, with all its claimed limitations, not that which makes it obvious," and by using "such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that set forth the claimed invention." Lockwood, 107 F.3d at 1572, 41 USPQ2d at 1966.

An adequate written description of the ingredients requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it.

The description requirement of the patent statute requires a description of an invention, not an indication of a result that one might achieve if one made that invention. See In re Wilder, 736 F.2d 1516, 1521, 222 USPQ 369, 372- 73 (Fed. Cir. 1984) Accordingly, naming a type of material generally known to exist, in the absence of knowledge as to what that material consists of, that is the culture medium having intended properties outside of the medium, is not a description of that material.

Thus, Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The broad generic claim one lacks sufficient description to inform a skilled artisan that applicant was in possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing since the specification lacks a sufficient number of species which have been described by complete structure or identifying characteristics, thus the description requirement has not been satisfied in particular for Claim 1.

- E. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph in view of Claim 1, line 1, error in "I" which should be "i".
- F. The term "variety" in the expression "variety of fermentation media" renders the claims vague and indefinite as to the scope of the expression as well as broader than the enabling disclosure.
- G. The expression in Claim 1 part (ii) does not correspond to the specification "shows a yeast free cell (single or budding double cells) of less...." Applicant is requested to denote the identical or equivalent statement in the specification.

4. No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1651

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is (703) 308-2034 and fax number is (703) 308-4242 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit <u>1651</u> July 16, 2002

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651